I. Any organisation/institutions coming within the definition of "State" under Article 12 of the Constitution of India.

II. Any hospital or recognised charitable organisation or educational institutions registered or incorporated under a statute or having recognition under Section 80-G of the India Income Tax Act, 1961.

III. *INDIVIDUALS*:

1. An individual suffering from physical handicap so as to render him incapable of using public transport would be eligible for allotment provided his income together with the income of his or her spouse or his or her gaurdian does not exceed Rs. 60,000/- per year.

2. The President of India, Vice-President of India, Cabinet Ministers, Ministers of State in the Union Cabinet or Governors of States and Cabinet Ministers in State Governments, the Chairman of Public Service Commission, the Chief Election Commissioner, the Auditor and Comptroller General of India.

3. The Speaker and the Deputy Speaker and the Attorney General of India. Speaker of the Lok Sabha the Chairman and the Deputy Chairman of the Rajya Sabha, Speakers of State Legislative Assemblies, Chairpersons of State Legislative Councils and Leaders of Opposition parties in Parliament and in the State Legislatures.

4. The Chief Justice and other Judges of the Supreme Court and the Chief Justice and other Judges of the High Courts.

5. Public Servants not below the rank of Additional Secretary to the Government of India.

6. Serving Members of the Armed Forces not below the rank of Brigadier in the Army or equvalent rank in the Navy or the Air Force.

7. Manufacturers of Component parts for utilisation in the manufacture of Maruti Vehicles. The number of this category will be restricted to ten per year.

8. Employees of Maruti Udyog Ltd., limited to fifty vehicles per year.

9. Individuals in recognition of their outstanding humanitarian services to the society or to the Nation. The number of this category will be restricted to ten per year.

10. Individual cases of undue hardship on humanitarian grounds. The number in this category will be restricted to five per year.

IV. Error Category i.e. individuals whose applications for regular allotment could not be registered on account of any genuine error.

Punjab-Agro Pepsi proposal

645. SHRI DHARAM CHANDER PRASHANT: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to Starred Question 188 and 283 answered in the Rajya Sabha on 17th and 24th November, 1986 respectively and state:

(a) whether under the proposal Messrs Pepsi is expected to make the concentrate in India itself and to reveal the formula;

(b) whether they have asked for an import licence of Rs. 8.6 crores for the first five years;

(c) what are the items to be imported; and

(d) which of these items are not available in India and what steps are being taken to produce them in India?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) The proposal of the Punjab Agro Industries Corporation is to manufacture soft drink concentrates in India;

(b) The proposal envisages import of raw materials worth Rs. 8.60 crores during the first 5 years of production.

(c) and (d) The Corporation has stated that the raw materials proposed to be imported are only those which are not being manufactured indigenously and which are being imported by the existing soft drink industry. These will include items such as natural essential oils, colanut extract, gun arabica and other ingredients presently not available in the country. The Corporation has also stated that as and when these raw materials are produced indigenously of appropriate quality standards they will reduce their import accordingly.

Protest against the entry of Pepsi Gola

646. SHRI DHARAM CHANDER PRASHANT: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Perfumes and Flavour Association of India has protested against the proposed entry of Pepsi Cola into India; and

(b) if so, what items M/s. Pepsi propose to import which the industry in India cannot supply?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Three firms namely, M/s. Saiba Industries Private Limited, Bombay, M/s. Robin Chemicals (Private) Limited, Madras, and M/s. Bush Boake Allen (India) Limited, Madras, belonging to the Perfume and Flavour Industry, have represented against the proposal for import of "Proprietory Ingredients" by the Punjab Agro Industries Corporation for manufacture of soft drink concentrate.

(b) The Punjab Agro Industries Corporation has stated that the raw materials proposed to be imported are those which are not being manufactured indigenously and which are already being imported by the existing soft drink industry. These will include items such as natural peel oil, cola nut extract, gum arabica and other ingredients presently not available indigenously. The Corporation has also stated that as and when these raw materials are produced indigenously of appropriate quality standards they will reduce their imports accordingly. It may be added that Government has not yet taken a decision on the proposal of the Corporation and that import of raw materials in all cases will be regulated in accordance with the import policy prevailing from time to time.

Four wheeler Gypsy by Maruti Udyog Ltd.

647. SHRI KAPIL VERMA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Maruti Udyog Limited has decided to discontinue manufacture of four-wheel drive Gypsy and instead trying to develop a 1000-c.c. car which will be fitted with gypsy engines; if so, the reasons therefor and what has been the sale record of gypsy; and

(b) whether Maruti Udyog Ltd. is preparing to launch a 400-c.c. car soon; if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (PROF. K. K. TEWARY): (a) No, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

Appointment of whole time Chief Electoral Officers

648. SHRI KAPIL VERMA: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Election Commission has suggested to Government for the appointment of whole time Chief Electoral Officers to the States to strengthen the election machinery and whether the Commission has also suggested the filling up of gaps in the election law by introducing